


SignalK / [signalk-server](#) Public[Code](#) [Issues](#) 196 [Pull requests](#) 58 [Actions](#) [Projects](#) [Wiki](#) [Security](#)

fix(security): add rate limiting to WebSocket login endpoint #2568

Merged [tkurki](#) merged 2 commits into [SignalK:master](#) from [dirkwa:ws-login-rate-limit](#) 
2 weeks ago

[Conversation](#) 24 [Commits](#) 2 [Checks](#) 3 [Files changed](#) 6



[dirkwa](#) commented [2 weeks ago](#) • edited by [coderabbitai](#) bot 

Contributor

Summary

- Add rate limiting to the WebSocket login path, which previously allowed unlimited brute-force attempts
- Replace `express-rate-limit` for login routes with a shared `LoginRateLimiter` so HTTP and WebSocket login share a single per-IP budget (default 100 attempts/10min window)
- Extract `getClientIp()` helper in `ws.ts` to deduplicate IP resolution logic
- Convert `test/rate-limit.js` to strict TypeScript

Motivation

The HTTP login endpoints were protected by `express-rate-limit`, but the WebSocket login path (`processLoginRequest` in `ws.ts`) called `login()` directly with no throttling. An attacker could bypass HTTP rate limiting entirely by opening a WebSocket connection and attempting unlimited password guesses at ~20 req/s (bcrypt-limited). A 10,000-word dictionary attack would complete in ~8 minutes over a single connection.

Reported via [GHSA-vmfm-ch9h-5c7g](#).

Why a custom rate limiter instead of express-rate-limit?

`express-rate-limit` is Express middleware — its store API is internal and not designed for manual consumption outside the middleware pipeline. Rather than coupling to that internal API (which has broken across major versions before), we introduced a lightweight `LoginRateLimiter` (~45 lines) with a simple `check(ip)` interface that both the Express middleware wrapper and the WebSocket handler call directly. This ensures a single shared budget across both protocols: 50 HTTP + 50 WS = 100 total, not 100 + 100.

`express-rate-limit` remains in use for the other HTTP rate limiters (access requests, request status, login status) in `serverroutes.ts` — those have no WebSocket equivalent and don't need sharing.

Manual verification

Tested against a live server on port 4000 with security enabled:

- Fired 100 HTTP login attempts — budget exhausted, attempt 101 returned 429
- Opened a WebSocket connection and sent a login attempt — returned 429 with the shared rate limit message
- Confirmed the budget is shared: HTTP attempts reduce the WebSocket budget and vice versa

Test changes

- Converted `test/rate-limit.js` to `test/rate-limit.ts`
- Each login rate-limit scenario now uses its own server instance to ensure test isolation (the previous tests shared one server, so later tests were operating with an already-exhausted budget)
- Added: WebSocket login rate limiting (100 WS attempts then 429)
- Added: cross-protocol budget sharing (50 HTTP + 50 WS then both protocols blocked)

Rate Limiting for WebSocket Login Endpoint

Overview

This PR adds rate limiting to the WebSocket login handler and centralizes login throttling across HTTP and WebSocket flows using a shared `LoginRateLimiter`. The limiter enforces a per-IP budget (default 100 attempts per 10 minutes) to mitigate high-rate brute-force and dictionary attacks.

Key Changes

New Module: Login Rate Limiter

- Adds `src/login-rate-limiter.ts` implementing an in-memory, per-IP limiter with:
 - `createLoginRateLimiter(windowMs, max)` factory

- `check(ip): { allowed, retryAfterMs }`
- `dispose()` cleanup
- periodic cleanup of expired entries and a shared `LOGIN_RATE_LIMIT_MESSAGE`

WebSocket IP handling and Rate Limiting

- Adds `getClientIp(app, spark)` in `src/interfaces/ws.ts` to centralize IP resolution (respects `settings.trustProxy` and uses the first value from X-Forwarded-For when enabled).
- Extends `SecurityStrategy` with optional `loginRateLimiter?: LoginRateLimiter`.
- `processLoginRequest` uses the shared limiter: it calls `rateLimiter.check(getClientIp(...))` and, on denial, responds over the socket with `statusCode: 429`, `state: 'COMPLETED'`, and `message: LOGIN_RATE_LIMIT_MESSAGE` without proceeding to login logic.

HTTP login rate limiting refactor

- Replaces express-rate-limit usage for login routes in `src/tokensecurity.ts` with the shared `LoginRateLimiter`.
- Adds Express middleware that calls `loginRateLimiter.check(req.ip ?? '')`, sets `Retry-After` on denial, and returns HTTP 429 with `{ message: LOGIN_RATE_LIMIT_MESSAGE }`.
- Retains express-rate-limit for other HTTP-only rate limiters.

Tests and Test Cleanup

- Replaces `test/rate-limit.js` with `test/rate-limit.ts` (strict TypeScript).
- Tests create isolated server instances per scenario.
- Adds suites verifying:
 - HTTP login rate limiting
 - WebSocket login rate limiting
 - Cross-protocol budget sharing (HTTP and WebSocket share the same per-IP bucket)
 - HTTP API rate limiting for other endpoints
 - Trust-proxy behavior and per-IP bucketing via X-Forwarded-For
- Fixes trustProxy test to exhaust a forwarded-IP bucket and confirm different forwarded IPs remain allowed.

Security Impact

- Enforces a shared per-IP login budget across HTTP and WebSocket, preventing previously unthrottled high-rate login attempts (addresses GHSAs vmfm-ch9h-5c7g).
- Manual verification confirms cross-protocol enforcement: exhausting HTTP attempts causes subsequent WebSocket login attempts to be rate-limited.

Miscellaneous

- A follow-up issue ([🔗 feat\(security\): add input validation for HTTP_RATE_LIMITS \(reject zero/negative values\) #2570](#)) is created to add input validation for HTTP_RATE_LIMITS (ensure windowMs and max are positive integers); input validation is considered out of scope for this security fix.

coderrabbitai bot commented [2 weeks ago](#) • edited ▾

 Note



Reviews paused

It looks like this branch is under active development. To avoid overwhelming you with review comments due to an influx of new commits, CodeRabbit has automatically paused this review. You can configure this behavior by changing the `reviews.auto_review.auto_pause_after_reviewed_commits` setting.




Use the following commands to manage reviews:


- `@coderrabbitai resume` to resume automatic reviews.
- `@coderrabbitai review` to trigger a single review.

Use the checkboxes below for quick actions:

-  Resume reviews
-  Trigger review

▶  Walkthrough

▶  Pre-merge checks |  2 |  1

▶  Finishing Touches

Comment `@coderrabbitai help` to get the list of available commands and usage tips.


 **coderrabbitai** bot reviewed [2 weeks ago](#)


[View reviewed changes](#)



coderrabbitai bot left a comment


Actionable comments posted: 2

▶  Prompt for all review comments with AI agents


▶  Autofix (Beta)



▶  Review info

> src/interfaces/ws.ts


 Show resolved

> src/login-rate-limiter.ts Outdated


 Show resolved


  **dirkwa** force-pushed the `ws-login-rate-limit` branch from `bb69b18` to `0537967` Compare
2 weeks ago


 **coderrabbitai** bot reviewed 2 weeks ago
[View reviewed changes](#)

 **coderrabbitai** bot left a comment

Actionable comments posted: 2


▶  Duplicate comments (1)

▶  Prompt for all review comments with AI agents


▶  Autofix (Beta)

▶  Review info

> src/login-rate-limiter.ts

 Show resolved

> test/rate-limit.ts Outdated

 Show resolved

dirkwa force-pushed the `ws-login-rate-limit` branch from `0537967` to `dcf019f` Compare
2 weeks ago

coderrabbitai (bot) reviewed 2 weeks ago
View reviewed changes

coderrabbitai (bot) left a comment

Actionable comments posted: 2

- ▶ Duplicate comments (1)
- ▶ Prompt for all review comments with AI agents
- ▶ Autofix (Beta)

▶ Review info

> `src/index.ts` Outdated ⌵ Show resolved

> `test/rate-limit.ts` Outdated ⌵ Show resolved

fix(security): add rate limiting to WebSocket login endpoint ✓ `5703ab5`


dirkwa force-pushed the `ws-login-rate-limit` branch from `dcf019f` to `5703ab5` Compare
2 weeks ago


coderrabbitai (bot) reviewed 2 weeks ago
View reviewed changes


coderrabbitai (bot) left a comment

Caution

Some comments are outside the diff and can't be posted inline due to platform limitations.

▶  Outside diff range comments (1)

▶  Duplicate comments (3)

▶  Prompt for all review comments with AI agents

▶  Review info

dirkwa commented [2 weeks ago](#)

Contributor

Author

@coderabbitai

The input validation for `HTTP_RATE_LIMITS` (rejecting zero/negative values) is a valid improvement, but it is pre-existing code outside the scope of this security fix. Please create a follow-up issue for it so we can address it separately.

 1

 This was referenced 2 weeks ago

[feat\(security\): add input validation for HTTP_RATE_LIMITS \(reject zero/negative values\)](#)

[#2569](#)

 Open

[feat\(security\): add input validation for HTTP_RATE_LIMITS \(reject zero/negative values\)](#)

[#2570](#)

 Open


coderabbitai  commented [2 weeks ago](#)


 Tip

For best results, initiate chat on the files or code changes.

▶  Analysis chain

@dirkwa : Done — I've opened [#2570](#) to track input validation for `HTTP_RATE_LIMITS` (rejecting zero/negative values) as a separate follow-up. Note: the integration couldn't set the assignee automatically, so you may want to assign it manually.

▶  Learnings added

▶  Learnings used

dirkwa commented [2 weeks ago](#)

Contributor

Author


ready for human review

  **tkurki** added the  label [2 weeks ago](#)

 **tkurki** reviewed [2 weeks ago](#)

[View reviewed changes](#)



> `test/rate-limit.ts`

 Show resolved





  [test\(rate-limit\): fix trustProxy test to verify per-IP buckets](#)   [74902b0](#)

 **coderrabbitai**  reviewed [2 weeks ago](#)

[View reviewed changes](#)

 **coderrabbitai**  left a comment

Actionable comments posted: 1

- ▶  Duplicate comments (1)
 - ▶  Prompt for all review comments with AI agents
 - ▶  Autofix (Beta)
-
- ▶  Review info

> test/rate-limit.ts

Show resolved

dirkwa commented 2 weeks ago

Contributor

Author

ready for review, i ignored the nitpicks.

1 similar comment



tkurki merged commit 215d81e into SignalK:master 2 weeks ago

4 checks passed

View details



coderabbitai (bot) mentioned this pull request 2 weeks ago

feat(ws): limit concurrent WebSocket connections per IP #2578

Merged

Sign up for free to join this conversation on GitHub. Already have an account? [Sign in to comment](#)

Reviewers



tkurki



coderabbitai[bot]



Assignees

No one assigned

Labels



Projects

None yet

Milestone

No milestone

Development

Successfully merging this pull request may close these issues.

None yet

2 participants

