

[Products](#)[Services](#)[Publications](#)[Resources](#)[What's new](#)

[Follow @Openwall on Twitter for new release announcements and other news](#)

[\[<prev\]](#) [\[next>\]](#) [\[<thread-prev\]](#) [\[thread-next>\]](#) [\[day\]](#) [\[month\]](#) [\[year\]](#) [\[list\]](#)

Message-ID: <alpine.BSF.2.21.9999.2211020631160.34372@aneurin.horsfall.org>
Date: Wed, 2 Nov 2022 06:35:42 +1100 (EST)
From: Dave Horsfall <dave@...sfall.org>
To: OSS Security <oss-security@...ts.openwall.com>
Subject: Re: OpenSSL X.509 Email Address 4-byte Buffer Overflow
(CVE-2022-3602), X.509 Email Address Variable Length Buffer Overflow
(CVE-2022-3786)

On Tue, 1 Nov 2022, Demi Marie Obenour wrote:

[Massive trim]

> 3. When will OpenSSL be replaced by something written in a safe
> language, or at least with a better-maintained fork? I know that
> distributions often cannot use LibreSSL (because FIPS, ugh) or
> BoringSSL (because of no stable API or ABI), but I wonder if e.g.
> libcurl should be linked to BoringSSL instead.

We see this over at <https://boringssl.googlesource.com/boringssl/> :

```
`Although BoringSSL is an open source project, it is not intended
for general use, as OpenSSL is. We don't recommend that third parties
depend upon it. Doing so is likely to be frustrating because there
are no guarantees of API or ABI stability.'`
```

If even the manufacturer says that you shouldn't use it...

-- Dave

[Powered by blists - more mailing lists](#)

Please check out the [Open Source Software Security Wiki](#), which is counterpart to this [mailing list](#).

Confused about [mailing lists](#) and their use? [Read about mailing lists on Wikipedia](#) and check out these [guidelines on proper formatting of your messages](#).