

[Products](#)[Services](#)[Publications](#)[Resources](#)[What's new](#)

[Follow @Openwall on Twitter for new release announcements and other news](#)

[\[<prev\]](#) [\[next>\]](#) [\[<thread-prev\]](#) [\[thread-next>\]](#) [\[day\]](#) [\[month\]](#) [\[year\]](#) [\[list\]](#)

Message-ID: <CAPWQ=1TzQ\_e2yHZMXx6Tm4UJG3owZypShvm+VDoxEMcDSDxX+A@mail.gmail.com>  
Date: Tue, 1 Nov 2022 15:49:27 -0400  
From: Pavan Maddamsetti <pavan.maddamsetti@...il.com>  
To: oss-security@...ts.openwall.com  
Subject: Re: OpenSSL X.509 Email Address 4-byte Buffer Overflow  
(CVE-2022-3602), X.509 Email Address Variable Length Buffer Overflow (CVE-2022-3786)

<https://github.com/RustCrypto>

On Tue, Nov 1, 2022, 3:42 PM Dave Horsfall <dave@...sfall.org> wrote:

```
> On Tue, 1 Nov 2022, Demi Marie Obenour wrote:
>
> [ Massive trim ]
>
> > 3. When will OpenSSL be replaced by something written in a safe
> > language, or at least with a better-maintained fork? I know that
> > distributions often cannot use LibreSSL (because FIPS, ugh) or
> > BoringSSL (because of no stable API or ABI), but I wonder if e.g.
> > libcurl should be linked to BoringSSL instead.
>
> We see this over at https://boringssl.googlesource.com/boringssl/ :
>
> ``Although BoringSSL is an open source project, it is not intended
> for general use, as OpenSSL is. We don't recommend that third parties
> depend upon it. Doing so is likely to be frustrating because there
> are no guarantees of API or ABI stability.''
```

```
> If even the manufacturer says that you shouldn't use it...
```

```
> -- Dave
```

[Powered by blists - more mailing lists](#)

Please check out the [Open Source Software Security Wiki](#), which is counterpart to this [mailing list](#).

Confused about [mailing lists](#) and their use? [Read about mailing lists on Wikipedia](#) and check out these [guidelines on proper formatting of your messages](#).